the precursor decays by an amount

(81)
$$du = dp_x/\varrho_0 a_0.$$

Under the assumed conditions, eq. (78) also applies along the path of the precursor. Combining eqs. (78) and (81) yields the relation

$$\mathrm{d}p_x/\mathrm{d}t = -F/2 \ .$$

The function F is expected in general to be quite complicated. We can get a qualitative picture of its effect by assuming the form, for compression only,

(83)
$$F = (p_x^e - p_x^s)/T, \qquad p_x^e > p_x^e,$$

where T = constant. Compression by the precursor is assumed to be elastic, so p_x of eq. (82) lies on a metastable extension of the elastic compression curve, $p_x^s(V)$. Above the yield point there is a stress $p_x^s(V)$ which will finally be reached for the given volume V after a very long time. This is curve AB of Fig. 14 b). According to eqs. (82) and (83), decay of the precursor amplitude, $p_x \equiv p_x^s(V)$ continues until $p_x^s(V) = p_x^s(V)$, which occurs at the static value of the Hugoniot elastic limit. To see the effect more explicitly, note that

(84)
$$(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t)(p_x^e - p_x^s) = (1 - c^2/a^2)(\mathrm{d}p_x^e/\mathrm{d}t) \,,$$

where $c^2 = K/\varrho$, $\alpha^2 = (K + 2\mu/3)/\varrho$. If Poisson's ratio, ν , is independent of density, so is c^2/α^2 . Then eqs. (82)-(84) can be integrated to yield

(85)
$$p_x^e(V) - p_x^s(V) = (p_x^e - p_x^s)_0 \exp[-x/x_0],$$

where

$$(86) \hspace{3.1em} x_{\rm 0} = 2TD/(1-c^{\rm 2}/a^{\rm 2}) \; . \label{eq:x0}$$

Integrating eq. (84) under the assumption that $\nu = \text{constant}$ enables us to simplify eq. (85):

$$p_x^{\it e} - p_{\text{\tiny HEL}}^{\it s} = (p_x^{\it e} - p_{\text{\tiny HEL}}^{\it s})_0 \exp{[-x/x_0]} \; , \label{eq:psi}$$

where p_{HEL}^s is the static value of the Hugoniot elastic limit, related to the static yield strength by eq. (47).

Equation (82) was derived on the assumptions that the precursor follows a characteristic and that the energy equation, eq. (3), does not affect the prop-

agation process. A more rigorous expression can be obtained by combining eq. (77) with eqs. (1)-(3) and specializing the result along the shock path [8]:

(88)
$$\frac{\mathrm{D}p_x}{\mathrm{D}x} = \left(1 - \frac{u}{D}\right) \frac{(D-u)^2 - a^2}{\frac{3}{2}(D-u)^2 + a^2/2} \frac{\partial p_x}{\partial x} - \frac{(D-u)^2}{D} \frac{F'}{\frac{3}{2}(D-u)^2 + a^2/2} ,$$

(89)
$$F' = (1 - \alpha \Gamma y/2\mu)F.$$

Here the block derivative, D/Dx, refers to differentiation along the shock path, $\partial p_x/\partial x$ is evaluated immediately behind the precursor front, and F' is a modification to F resulting from the assumption that a fraction α of plastic work goes into heat. In eq. (89), Γ is the Gruneisen parameter. F' and F differ by less than 10% for metals in which plastic flow occurs.

Under the assumptions that D-u=a and $\alpha=0$, eq. (88) reduces to eq. (82).

Considerable effort in recent years has been devoted to attempts to relate the relaxation function F of eq. (75) to the motion and multiplication of dislocations. The basic relation is

(90)
$$\mathrm{d}E^p/\mathrm{d}t = hNbv = F/2\mu \;,$$

where N is the number of dislocations per unit area, b is the Burgers vector, h is a numerical constant the order of units, and v is the mean velocity of dislocations. Since $E_p = 2\varepsilon_1/3$ in uniaxial strain, eq. (90) becomes

(91)
$$\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_1/\mathrm{d}t = 3hNbv/2 \; .$$

There are various models for multiplication and motion of dislocations. One which is frequently used is due to GILMAN:

$$(92) N = N_{om}(1 + A\varepsilon^p) ,$$

$$(93) v = v_{\text{max}} \exp\left[-D/\tau\right],$$

where

 N_{om} = initial density of mobile dislocations,

 $v_{\rm max} = {\rm maximum~dislocation~velocity} {\sim} \, v_{\rm shear}, \label{eq:vmax}$

D = drag coefficient,

A = multiplication coefficient,

 $\tau = \text{resolved shear stress} = (p_x - p_y)/2.$